

# Does thinning influence compression wood development in pine?



# What is compression wood?

- compression wood (CW) => serious defect in sawn timber
- differential longitudinal shrinkage => battens may warp and check
- tends to be brittle => tensile and elastic properties may be inferior to those of normal wood

# What is compression wood?

- forms in conifers on underside of leaning stems
- forms in leeward side of trees exposed to strong winds
- function associated with restoring displaced stems to their original vertical position

# Thinning

- associated with the development of CW
- probably result of increasing stem sway during the period following thinning until canopy closure
- generally, greater stem sway occurs with
  - increasing thinning intensity
  - time interval between thinnings
  - time delay until the first thinning

# Study site

- p1969 Corsican pine (YC14) located in Sherwood and Lincolnshire Forest District
- sheltered with flat topography dominated by clay soils

# Methods

## Study stand

### Thinned stand

- one line (20 years) and two selective thinnings (approx. 70% Max MAI) (25 & 30 years)
- current stocking => 580 trees/ha (BA = 31 m<sup>2</sup>/ha)

### Unthinned stand

- current stocking => 1200 trees/ha (BA = 55 m<sup>2</sup>/ha)

# Methods

## Sampling

- 40 trees randomly selected; 20 each from thinned and unthinned stands
- only sawlog sized stems selected (16 cm DBH or greater)
- trees felled => DBH, tree height and depth of live crown measured
- 10 cm thick section removed at 2 m from base (mid point of main butt log)

# Methods

## Measurements

- cross-sectional area of CW measured on 3 mm thick slices using a transmitted light method
- basic density
- bark to pith ring width



# Results

## Stem and crown characteristics

**Table 1** Mean stem and crown characteristics of sample trees from thinned and unthinned stands of Corsican pine (ns = not significant at  $P=0.05$ ).

	Number of samples	Height (m)	DBH (cm)	Slenderness ratio (height/DBH)*	Canopy depth (m)
Thinned	20	16.7 (0.24)	22.4 (0.49)	75.1 (1.44)	7.6 (0.35)
Unthinned	20	14.9 (0.16)	20.4 (0.63)	74.0 (2.11)	6.2 (0.23)
		$P<0.001$	$P<0.05$	ns	$P<0.01$

\* measured in metres

# Results

## Wood properties

**Table 2** Mean values of wood properties of sample trees from thinned and unthinned stands of Corsican pine (ns = not significant at  $P < 0.05$ ).

	Sample disc diameter (cm)	Annual ring width (mm)	Basic density ( $\text{g}/\text{cm}^3$ )	Cross-sectional area of compression wood ( $\text{cm}^2$ )	Cross-sectional proportion of compression wood (%)*
Thinned	18.3 (0.42)	3.23 (0.069)	0.40 (0.008)	18.1 (4.01)	7.6 (2.12)
Unthinned	16.6 (0.56)	3.05 (0.085)	0.39 (0.007)	59.2 (15.47)	23.4 (4.67)
	$P < 0.05$	ns	ns	$P < 0.01$	$P < 0.005$

\* analysis carried out using arcsine transformation

# Results

## Wood properties

**Table 2** Mean values of wood properties of sample trees from thinned and unthinned stands of Corsican pine (ns = not significant at  $P < 0.05$ ).

	Sample disc diameter (cm)	Annual ring width (mm)	Basic density ( $\text{g}/\text{cm}^3$ )	Cross-sectional area of compression wood ( $\text{cm}^2$ )	Cross-sectional proportion of compression wood (%) <sup>*</sup>
Thinned	18.3 (0.42)	3.23 (0.069)	0.40 (0.008)	<b>18.1 (4.01)</b>	<b>7.6 (2.12)</b>
Unthinned	16.6 (0.56)	3.05 (0.085)	0.39 (0.007)	<b>59.2 (15.47)</b>	<b>23.4 (4.67)</b>
	$P < 0.05$	ns	ns	$P < 0.01$	$P < 0.005$

<sup>\*</sup> analysis carried out using arcsine transformation

# Results

## Correlations

**Table 3** Correlations between % CW and tree height, DBH, stem slenderness, crown depth, annual ring width and basic density, from trees from thinned and unthinned stands of Corsican pine.

	Correlation coefficients (r) for % compression wood	
	Thinned	Unthinned
Tree height (m)	-0.12	0.63**
DBH (cm)	-0.45*	0.60**
Slenderness (height/diameter)(m)	0.45*	-0.36
Crown depth (m)	-0.19	0.24
Sample disc diameter (cm)	-0.47*	0.55**
Ring width (mm)	-0.60**	0.51*
Basic density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	-0.28	-0.15

\* P<0.05, \*\* P<0.01

# Results

## Correlations – growth rate

**Table 3** Correlations between % CW and tree height, DBH, stem slenderness, crown depth, annual ring width and basic density, from trees from thinned and unthinned stands of Corsican pine.

	Correlation coefficients (r) for % compression wood	
	Thinned	<b>Unthinned</b>
Tree height (m)	-0.12	0.63**
DBH (cm)	-0.45*	<b>0.60**</b>
Slenderness (height/diameter)(m)	0.45*	-0.36
Crown depth (m)	-0.19	0.24
Sample disc diameter (cm)	-0.47*	<b>0.55**</b>
Ring width (mm)	-0.60**	<b>0.51*</b>
Basic density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	-0.28	-0.15

\* P < 0.05, \*\* P < 0.01

# Results

## Correlations – growth rate

**Table 3** Correlations between % CW and tree height, DBH, stem slenderness, crown depth, annual ring width and basic density, from trees from thinned and unthinned stands of Corsican pine.

	Correlation coefficients (r) for % compression wood	
	<b>Thinned</b>	Unthinned
Tree height (m)	-0.12	0.63**
DBH (cm)	<b>-0.45*</b>	0.60**
Slenderness (height/diameter)(m)	0.45*	-0.36
Crown depth (m)	-0.19	0.24
Sample disc diameter (cm)	<b>-0.47*</b>	0.55**
Ring width (mm)	<b>-0.60**</b>	0.51*
Basic density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	-0.28	-0.15

\* P<0.05, \*\* P<0.01

# Results

## Correlations - tree height

**Table 3** Correlations between % CW and tree height, DBH, stem slenderness, crown depth, annual ring width and basic density, from trees from thinned and unthinned stands of Corsican pine.

	Correlation coefficients (r) for % compression wood	
	Thinned	Unthinned
Tree height (m)	<b>-0.12</b>	<b>0.63**</b>
DBH (cm)	-0.45*	0.60**
Slenderness (height/diameter)(m)	0.45*	-0.36
Crown depth (m)	-0.19	0.24
Sample disc diameter (cm)	-0.47*	0.55**
Ring width (mm)	-0.60**	0.51*
Basic density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	-0.28	-0.15

\* P<0.05, \*\* P<0.01

# Results

## Correlations - slenderness

**Table 3** Correlations between % CW and tree height, DBH, stem slenderness, crown depth, annual ring width and basic density, from trees from thinned and unthinned stands of Corsican pine.

	Correlation coefficients (r) for % compression wood	
	Thinned	Unthinned
Tree height (m)	-0.12	0.63**
DBH (cm)	-0.45*	0.60**
Slenderness (height/diameter)(m)	<b>0.45*</b>	<b>-0.36</b>
Crown depth (m)	-0.19	0.24
Sample disc diameter (cm)	-0.47*	0.55**
Ring width (mm)	-0.60**	0.51*
Basic density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	-0.28	-0.15

\* P<0.05, \*\* P<0.01



# Conclusions

Three times more CW is observed in stems from unthinned stands than thinned stands!

But this appears to be contrary to published findings!

This may in part be a result of differing levels of competition within two stand types

# Conclusions

## Unthinned stands

- lack of thinning => intense competition within canopy => possible phototropic movements in response to competition for light

# Conclusions

## Unthinned stands

- lack of thinning => intense competition within canopy => possible phototropic movements in response to competition for light
- high inter-tree competition => forces trees to invest resources into height growth => result is tall slender trees that are inherently unstable

# Conclusions

## Unthinned stands

- lack of thinning => intense competition within canopy => possible phototropic movements in response to competition for light
- high inter-tree competition => forces trees to invest resources into height growth => result is tall slender trees that are inherently unstable
- trees are known to lean as a result of phototropic movements => more CW to negate imbalance

# Conclusions

## Thinned stands

- more space for trees to sway => encourages strengthening features (> diameter, > taper, > structural roots)

# Conclusions

## Thinned stands

- more space for trees to sway => encourages strengthening features (> diameter, > taper, > structural roots)
- > stability results in < stem sway, < stem lean, < CW

# Conclusions

## Thinned stands

- more space for trees to sway => encourages strengthening features (> diameter, > taper, > structural roots)
- > stability results in < stem sway, < stem lean, < CW
- > CW in thinned stands associated with > stem slenderness => more likely to lean due to thin stems and less mutual support in canopy

# Conclusions

- results consistent with theory that thinning reduces phototropic necessity in trees => propensity to lean is reduced due to less competition for space in canopy => less CW



# Conclusions

- results consistent with theory that thinning reduces phototropic necessity in trees => propensity to lean is reduced due to less competition for space in canopy => less CW
- but, earlier studies indicate that thinning is the cause of CW development!

# Conclusions

- results consistent with theory that thinning reduces phototropic necessity in trees => propensity to lean is reduced due to less competition for space in canopy => less CW
- but, earlier studies indicate that thinning is the cause of CW development!
- however, these studies involved major openings of canopy => result is instability for an extended period of time until canopy closure => more CW

# Conclusions

## Take home message

- timely selective thinnings at relatively short cycles limits CW formation => gradual canopy openings allow trees to slowly adapt to forces acting upon them
- relatively high incidence of CW observed in unthinned trees => associated with inherent instability and stem lean => result of high levels of inter-tree competition